Paediatrics Section

Cranial Colour Doppler and Electroencephalogram as Early Prognostic Markers in Babies with Hypoxic Ischaemic Encephalopathy: A Prospective Cohort Study

JAYENDRA ARYA¹, SAURABH PATEL², AMARESH SHUKLA³, DEEPAK DWIVEDI⁴



ABSTRACT

Introduction: Birth asphyxia continues to be a leading cause of neonatal morbidity and mortality globally. Early detection of ischaemic changes through Doppler ultrasound and Electroencephalography (EEG) may play a crucial role in prompt management, timely referral and effective parental counseling.

Aim: To evaluate role of Cranial colour doppler and EEG background activity in prediction of short and long-term outcome in term newborn with Hypoxic-Ischaemic Encephalopathy (HIE).

Materials and Methods: The present prospective cohort study was carried out in S.S. Medical College and SGM Hospital in central India during January 2020 to June 2022. A total of 71 full term neonates with HIE gone through Cranial Doppler and conventional EEG minimum for 1 hour within 6 hours of birth. Hammersmith Neonatal Neurological Examination (HNNE) was performed at the time of discharge and neurodevelopmental assessment at follow-up visit was done using Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination (HINE) and Developmental Assessment Scales for Indian Infant (DASII). Association

between EEG background activity and HNNE score, HINE score and neurodevelopmental outcome (cerebral palsy, epilepsy and developmental delay) was calculated. Chi-square test and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test was done and p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: Among babies with abnormal Doppler scan, 26 (92.8%) have bad short-term outcome, similar result were seen with abnormal Doppler and abnormal EEG in which 26 (94%) and 11 (84%) have bad short-term outcome (abnormal HNNE) respectively. After combining all modalities 23 (100%) babies showed bad outcme on short-term basis with p-value <0.05. Mean HNNE and HINE score was significantly lower (p-value=0.001) in newborns with abnormal doppler and severely abnormal EEG as compared to normal Doppler and normal EEG group. A severely abnormal EEG at birth was significantly associated with cerebral palsy (p-value=0.0005), epilepsy (p-value=0.04) and developmental delay (p-value=0.001).

Conclusion: Cranial colour doppler with EEG within 6 hours of birth in term HIE babies had high sensitivity and negative predictive value in predicting neurodevelopmental outcome.

Keywords: Cerebral palsy, Developmental delay, Epilepsy, Neurodevelopmental outcome

INTRODUCTION

The HIE is one of the most common cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity globally; it accounts for 26 out of every 1000 live births in developed and developing country respectively. In US population incidence of perinatal HIE was 1.7 per 1000 [1-3]. In India, estimated incidence of birth asphyxia is 12-16% [4]. In newer study the incidence was 5.26% that is, 92 out of 1749 live births in northern India [5].

Traditionally, the severity grading of HIE along with advanced neuroimaging techniques like Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has been utilised to assess the extent of cerebral injury during the neonatal period and to predict long-term neuromotor outcomes. However, the grading of HIE alone is not always reliable for prognostication and advanced imaging modalities are often not readily available in many neonatal care units in resource-limited settings such as India. Moreover, performing such investigations in clinically unstable neonates during the immediate postnatal period is frequently not feasible [6]. The EEG has been extensively used for the diagnosis of neonatal

seizures and for monitoring of neonates on antiseizure therapy [7]. A previous study have shown that EEG provides excellent predictive value for both short-term and long-term outcomes in infants with HIE, with good sensitivity. In addition, cranial colour Doppler has emerged as a valuable tool in evaluating the neurological prognosis of HIE-affected neonates [8]. It is a cost-effective, non invasive and easily accessible modality that can be initiated very early, even immediately after birth, making it particularly suitable for low-resource settings [9].

The above mentioned modalities have been studied separately in past for prognostication of HIE in babies [10-12]. In a study by Alfaifi J, cranial USG played crucial role in treatment for HIE [10]. Similar study done by Annink KV et al., showed validated Cranial Ultrasonography (CUS) scoring system is associated with neurodevelopmental outcome in neonates with HIE [11]. A study by Bourel-Ponchel E et al., showed that cranial EEG is also important tool for HIE prognostic value [12]. These previous studies focused mainly on EEG and Doppler separately, in present study combined use of cranial colour doppler and EEG with structured neurological

examination was used. Early detection of ischaemic changes from Doppler and EEG will provide timely management, referral and parental counseling regarding HIE.

The combined use of cranial colour doppler and EEG with structured neurological examination may have a better sensitivity and predictive value for outcome of HIE babies. Hence this study aimed to assess the role of cranial color doppler as early prognostic marker of babies with HIE short-term and long-term outcome and also to investigate the role of EEG background activity and its severity in HIE short-term and long-term outcome.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present prospective cohort study was conducted at S.S. Medical College and SGM Hospital, Rewa in central India during January 2020 to June 2022. This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India (No. 4249/SS/PG/MC/2020).

Inclusion criteria: Neonates with following: (a) Gestational Age (GA) of 37-42 weeks, postnatal age <6 hours, © any one of the following: Foetal distress at delivery, need for resuscitation at birth or Apgar score <6 at 5 min, metabolic acidosis PH <7.1 or base deficit >10.8 [13] were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria: Babies with congenital heart disease and other major congenital anomaly inborn errors of metabolism; genetic syndromes were excluded from the study.

Sample size: The present study included 71 neonates admitted for HIE in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) of tertiary care centre within the study duration.

Data collection: Parents of infants who met the inclusion criteria were approached and written informed consent was obtained. EEG was performed for all enrolled neonates within 6 hours of birth using an RMS electronic EEG machine. Each recording lasted a minimum of one hour and electrode placement followed the International 10-20 system, modified appropriately for neonates [10].

The EEG background activity was classified into three categories based on previously established criteria, with modifications according to the updated American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) guidelines. Interpretation was done by a trained paediatric neurologist experienced in neonatal EEGs [10,11]:

- Normal/mildly abnormal: Continuous background activity with minor abnormalities such as mild asymmetry, slight voltage depression, or poorly defined sleep-wake cycling.
- Moderately abnormal: Discontinuous activity with interburst intervals of around 10 seconds, absence of clear sleep-wake cycling and evident asymmetry or asynchrony.
- **Severely abnormal:** Discontinuous background with interburst intervals ranging from 10 to 60 seconds, severe attenuation of background activity and absent sleep-wake cycles.
- Isoelectric EEG: Background activity with interburst intervals greater than 60 seconds.

Cranial color doppler was conducted within 72 hours of birth [12]. A 2-5 MHz convex or phased array transducer of a computed sonography system was used. The transducer was positioned between the eye socket and the ear, just above the zygomatic arch, to capture flow signals from the Middle Cerebral Artery (MCA). Haemodynamic parameters such as Pulsatility Index (PI) and Resistive Index (RI) of the right MCA were recorded. In this study, an RI <0.50 or >0.90 and a PI <1.0 were considered abnormal and indicative of cerebral hypoxia [13,7].

Many tools are available for neurological follow-up of newborns, among them, the HINE has emerged as one of the most reliable and easy-to-use methods for early detection of neurological impairments in both high-risk and low-risk infants [14,15]. It can be effectively administered even by trained non specialist staff [16]. The HINE evaluates several neurological domains, including cranial nerve function, posture, spontaneous movements, muscle tone, primitive reflexes and behaviour. Beyond identifying motor deficits, it also provides valuable insight into the type and severity of overall neurological dysfunction [15]. An optimality score is calculated by comparing the infant's scores to those typically observed in a normal population, with scores occurring in at least 90% of healthy infants considered optimal. The total score ranges from 0 to 78. At 9 and 12 months of age, a score of ≥73 is considered optimal, while scores below 73 are regarded as suboptimal [15].

Neurodevelopmental assessment was done using Developmental Assessment Scale for Indian Infants (DASII) by a single trained examiner at the time of admission, prior to initiation of any intervention. DASII is an Indian adaptation of the Bayley Scale of Infant Development and consists of two components: a motor scale with 67 items and a mental scale with 163 items. It is suitable for evaluating children up to the age of 30 months [17]. After assessing the infants, the Motor Development Quotient (MoDQ) and Mental Development Quotient (MeDQ) were calculated according to the DASII manual. A developmental delay was defined as a Development Quotient (DQ) of ≤70, which corresponds to 2 standard deviations below the mean in either the motor or mental domain [18,19]. Furthermore, the infants from both groups were categorised based on the degree of delay as follows:

Mild delay: DQ 51-70

Moderate delay: DQ 36-50

Severe delay: DQ ≤35

Short-term outcome assessment: At the time of discharge, short-term outcome was evaluated. A poor outcome was defined as either neonatal death or a suboptimal score on the HNNE. The HNNE was conducted and optimality scores were calculated for each domain (e.g., posture, tone, reflexes, movements, behaviour) as well as a composite score by summing all component scores. A composite score greater than 30.5 was considered optimal, based on criteria established by the scale developers [20]. In addition to the composite score, individual items were also analysed for suboptimal scores, using specific cut-off values provided in the original HNNE scale guidelines. Any infant who either died during the neonatal period or had a suboptimal composite HNNE score was classified as having a poor short-term outcome [20].

Long-term outcome: On follow-up from 3 months to 9 months of age visit, HINE was performed and age specific cut-off value was used to categorise optimal and suboptimal specific for age [21]. DASII scale (Indian modification of Bayley's scale for infant development) was used for developmental assessment and MoDQ and MeDQ was calculated. A DQ <70 was considered as delayed. Average of mental development quotient and motor development quotient <70% was considered abnormal [22]. On the basis of clinical history and EEG-doppler, on follow-up epilepsy and cerebral palsy was diagnosed. Patients having clinical features of cerebral palsy were diagnosed and classified accordingly [23]. Tone assessment was done using modified Ashworth scale [24]. An abnormal long-term outcome was defined as abnormal HINE, DASII, presence of CP or Epilepsy.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The association of cranial colour doppler findings (classified as hypoxic or normal) and EEG background activity (categorised as normal/mildly abnormal, moderately abnormal and severely abnormal) was analysed with respect to the following outcomes: mortality, HNNE score (optimal/suboptimal), HINE score (optimal/suboptimal), cerebral palsy, epilepsy and developmental delay. Categorical variables were assessed using the Chi-square test, while continuous variables were analysed using ANOVA and Student's t-test, as appropriate. Data analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 18.0. The predictive utility of early neonatal EEG was evaluated by calculating the Positive Predictive Value (PPV), Negative Predictive Value (NPV), sensitivity and specificity based on binary classification of outcomes. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULT

General baseline characteristics of subjects are listed in [Table/Fig-1]. A total of 71 neonates were enrolled for study out of which 47 were discharged and 28 babies came for follow-up. Out of them 53 (74.6%) were male and 18 (25.4%) were female, 53 neonates belong to rural population and remaining 18 belongs to urban and 57 (80%) neonates belongs to joint family.

| Characteristics | n (%) |) | Survivors n=47 | Non survivors n=24 | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 53 (74.6) | | 35 | 18 | | |
| Female | 18 (25. | 4) | 12 | 6 | | |
| Mean gestational age (weeks) | 38.15±0 | .74 | 38.15±0.74 | 38.25±0.79 | | |
| Socio-economic sta | tus | | | | | |
| Lower | 1 (1.8 | 7) | 1 | 0 | | |
| Lower middle | 41 (57.7 | 75) | 26 | 15 | | |
| Upper lower | 11 (15.4 | 19) | 6 | 5 | | |
| Upper middle | 18 (25.3 | 35) | 14 | 04 | | |
| Residence | | | | | | |
| Rural | 53 (74. | 7) | 33 | 20 | | |
| Urban | 18 (25. | 3) | 14 | 04 | | |
| Joint family | 57 (80. | 3) | 35 | 22 | | |
| Nuclear family | 14 (20 |)) | 12 | 02 | | |
| >4 Number of family member | 53 (74.7) | | 32 | 21 | | |
| <4 number of family member | 18 (25) | | 15 | 03 | | |
| Mode of delivery | | | | | | |
| NVD | 59 (83.1) | | 38 | 21 | | |
| LSCS | 12 (16. | 9) | 9 | 3 | | |
| >1 Birth order | 37 (52. | 1) | 22 | 15 | | |
| Antenatal complication | 16 (22. | 5) | 12 | 4 | | |
| Mean Birth weight M±SD | 3.1±3.2 | | 3.18±0.24 | 3.16±0.28 | | |
| | Mildly abnormal | 36 (50.7) | 29 | 7 | | |
| EEG finding, n (%) | Moderately abnormal | 13 (18.3) | 8 | 5 | | |
| | Severely abnormal | 22 (31.0) | 10 | 12 | | |
| Abnormal Doppler finding, n (%) | | 28 (39.4) | 12 | 16 | | |

| Mean±SD duration of stay (days) | | | 4.7±0.55 | 4.7±0.65 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Outcome n (%) | Discharge | 47 (66.2) | 47 | 0 |
| | Death | 24 (33.8) | 0 | 24 |

[Table/Fig-1]: General characteristics of the patients.

The results of the cohort study reveal a strong association between abnormal EEG and Doppler findings with adverse patient outcomes. In the short-term analysis, individuals with severely abnormal EEG results had a 100% incidence of bad outcomes, while moderate abnormalities led to bad outcomes in 85% of cases. Similarly, abnormal Doppler results significantly predicted poor outcomes, with 93% of individuals exhibiting adverse effects. The combined presence of abnormal EEG and Doppler findings further exacerbated the risk, indicating a 100% probability of bad outcomes. These association were statistically significant, as evidenced by p-values less than 0.0001 for both EEG and Doppler results independently predicting short-term outcomes [Table/Fig-2].

| | | Good outcome | Bad outcome | p- Value | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| | Mild n=36 | 22 (61%) | 14 (39%) | | |
| EEG n (%) | Moderate n=13 | 2 (15%) | 11 (85%) | <0.001 | |
| | Severe n=22 | 0 | 22 (100%) | | |
| Doppler Results n (%) | Normal n=43 | 22 (51%) | 21 (49%) | <0.001 | |
| | Abnormal n=28 | 2 (7%) | 26 (93%) | <0.001 | |
| Doppler and EEG both abnormal n=23 (%) | | 0 | 23 (100) | <0.001 | |
| HNNE (at the time of discharge) | | | | | |
| Suboptimal | | - | 23 | | |
| Optimal | | 24 | - | | |
| Franks (Franks) Charles and the second files of all advantages | | | | | |

[Table/Fig-2]: Short-term outcome of the studied cohort.

Long-term outcomes mirrored these trends, where severe EEG abnormalities consistently resulted in 100% bad outcomes. However, the doppler findings showed a less pronounced effect on long-term prognosis compared to the short-term results. The statistical significance of these observations was robust for EEG abnormalities (p-value <0.001) as well as for Doppler results in the long-term outcomes (p-value=<0.001) [Table/Fig-3,4]. Furthermore, the sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of these markers were significant. For example, the combined assessment of EEG and Doppler in predicting short-term outcomes showed a sensitivity of 78.7% and a specificity of 67%, with a positive predictive value of 82.2%. These diagnostic markers are crucial in determining the prognosis and guiding the clinical management of patients in both short and long-term scenarios [Table/Fig-5].

The study reveals significant neurological outcomes among infants with severe EEG abnormalities in the neonatal period, where 100% subsequently developed epilepsy during long-term follow-up and 70% were diagnosed with cerebral palsy upon follow-up. Additionally, all infants who developed epilepsy had hypoxic changes in their Doppler results at birth [Table/Fig-6]. The data also shows differing developmental outcomes related to Doppler results at birth: only 16.67% of those with abnormal Doppler findings achieved a Developmental Quotient (DQ) above 70%, while 83.33% scored below 70 [Table/Fig-7].

DISSCUSSION

The present study highlights crucial relationships between EEG findings, Doppler results and patient outcomes over both short-

| | | Good outcome, n (%) | Bad outcome, n (%) | p-value | |
|--------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| | Mild | 12 (80) | 3 (20) | | |
| EEG n (%) | Moderate | 0 | 3 (100) | <0.001 | |
| | Severe | 0 | 10 (100) | | |
| Doppler | Normal | 10 (52.6) | 9 (47.4) | <0.001 | |
| Results n (%) | Abnormal | 2 (22.2) | 7 (77.8) | | |
| EEG and doppler abnormal n (%) | | 4 (22.2) | 14 (77.8) | <0.001 | |
| HINE score | HINE score | | | | |
| Optimal | | 12 (42.8) | - | | |
| Suboptimal | | - | 16 (57.2) | - | |
| DASII | | | | | |
| Normal | | 11 | - | | |
| abnormal | | - | 17 | _ | |

[Table/Fig-3]: Long-term outcome of the studied cohort (n=28).

| | | Survivors n=47 | Non survivors n=24 | |
|--|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Mild | 29 (61.7) | 7 (29.2) | |
| EEG n (%) | Moderate | 8 (17) | 5 (20.8) | |
| | Severe | 10 (21.3) | 12 (50) | |
| Doppler Results n (%) | Normal | 35 (74.5) | 8 (33.3) | |
| | Abnormal | 12 (25.5) | 16 (66.7) | |
| Doppler and EEG both abnormal n=23 (%) | | 9 | 14 | |
| HNNE | | | | |
| Suboptimal | | 23 | 0 | |
| Optimal | | 24 | 0 | |

[Table/Fig-4]: Short-term outcome of the studied cohort in survivors and non survivors.

| | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|--|--|
| Short-term outcome | | | | | | |
| EEG | 70.2 | 91.7 | 94.3 | 61.1 | | |
| Color doppler | 55.3 | 91.7 | 92.8 | 51.2 | | |
| Combined | 78.7 | 67 | 82.2 | 61.5 | | |
| Long-term outcome | | | | | | |
| EEG | 81.3 | 100 | 100 | 80 | | |
| Color doppler | 62.5 | 83.3 | 93,3 | 62.5 | | |
| Combined | 100 | 75 | 84.2 | 100 | | |

[Table/Fig-5]: Markers for poor long-term and short-term outcome.

term and long-term periods. Abnormal EEG results, especially severe cases, are strongly correlated with negative outcomes, a pattern that holds true in the analysis of doppler results where abnormal findings are similarly linked to poorer health outcomes. This relationship is further quantified through statistical significance in the data, underscoring the reliability of these diagnostic tools in predicting patient prognosis. Additionally, the assessment of sensitivity, specificity and predictive values for both EEG and Doppler indicates their effectiveness for predicting short-term outcomes and when combined with HNNE scores they had excellent predictive capability for long-term outcomes also.

Hamelin S et al., [25] concluded that worse EEG background categories associated with unfavourable neurologic outcome. Previous studies [26] concluded that patients having normal/mildly abnormal EEG at

| | EEG finding | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Cerebral | Normal/Mildly abnormal | Moderately abnormal | Severely abnormal | Total no. of | p- |
| palsy | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | patients | value |
| Yes | 0 | 2 (66) | 7 (70) | 9 | |
| No | 15 100) | 1 (34) | 3 (30) | 19 | |
| Total no. of patients | 15 (100) | 3 (100) | 10 (100) | 28 | 0.0005 |
| | EEG finding | | | | |
| | Normal/Mildly abnormal | Moderately abnormal | Severely abnormal | Total no. of | p- |
| Epilepsy | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | patients | value |
| Yes | 0 | 0 | 3 (100) | 3 | 0.04 |
| No | 15 (60) | 3 (12) | 7 (28) | 25 | 0.04 |

[Table/Fig-6]: There were statistically significant association between Epilepsy and cerebral palsy with EEG abnormality of Neonates, with p-value (p<0.05).

| | Doppler a | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Development quotient (DQ) (n=28) | Normal (n=16) | Hypoxia (n=12) | p-value |
| Mean±standard | 78.69±16.49 | 54.57±21.31 | 0.0023 |
| No. of patients with low DQ (<70%) | 07 (43.75%) | 10 (83.33%) | 0.0371 |
| No. of patients with normal DQ (>70%) | 09 (56.25%) | 02 (16.67%) | 0.0371 |

[Table/Fig-7]: There were statistically significant difference according to association between development quotients With Doppler abnormality of Neonates, with p-value (p<0.05).

birth had a excellent PPV for normal long-term outcome similar to results of present study. A structured review by Awal MA et al., also concluded a sensitivity of 87 for severe EEG predicting poor outcome [27] which was also seen in present study. Colour doppler was also used as predictor for outcome of HIE babies in previous studies with good sentivity and specifity by Guan B et al., [28].

Though EEG and colour doppler are good enough in predicting outcome of HIE babies, combining both modalities increased the sensitivity and predictive values for short-term in previous studies Enhesari A et al., [29]. EEG and colour doppler alone has been studied as a prognostic marker for long-term outcome of HIE babies with good sensitivity like in Murray DM et al., and Wazir S et al., [30,31] present study proves that in combination with colour doppler and structured neurological exam at birth significantly improves sensitivity for prediction of outomes.

Limitation(s)

Major limitation was small sample size; lack of continuous EEG monitoring and poor follow-up rate of patients which maybe attribute to Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic during period of study.

CONCLUSION(S)

Present study concludes that combined use of EEG, Doppler and structured neurological examiantion in term HIE babies had improved sensitivity and NPV predicting short and long-term outcome in babies with HIE. Henceforth in order to predict outcome of a asphyxiated newborn for early intervention and better utilisation of resources in developing countries like India a bed side EEG and cranial colour doppler is very useful tool.

Acknowledgement

Author would like to acknowledge his family Dr. Prakash Vir Arya, Dr. Sunita Arya, Dr. Kratika and his loving wife Dr. Akansha for their immense support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Birth Asphyxia StatPearls NCBI Bookshelf [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 25]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430782/
- [2] Cornet MC, Kuzniewicz M, Scheffler A, Forquer H, Hamilton E, Newman TB, et al. Perinatal hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy: Incidence over time within a modern us birth cohort. Pediatr Neurol. 2023 Dec;149:145-50.
- [3] Simiyu IN, Mchaile DN, Katsongeri K, Philemon RN, Msuya SE. Prevalence, severity and early outcomes of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy among newborns at a tertiary hospital, in northern Tanzania. BMC Pediatr. 2017;17:131.
- [4] Paul VK, Singh M, Sundaram KR, Deorari AK. Correlates of mortality among hospital-born neonates with birth asphyxia. Natl Med J India. 1997;10(2):54-57.
- [5] Jain S, Samrina J, Samanta I. Newborn care in Northern India: A study of regional and seasonal peculiarities for desired professionalism and definitive practices. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2023;12(2):227-35.
- [6] Khan IA, Wahab S, Khan RA, Ullah E, Ali M. Neonatal Intracranial Ischemia and hemorrhage: Role of cranial sonography and CT scanning. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2010;47(2):89-94.
- [7] Tsuchida TN, Wusthoff CJ, Shellhaas RA, Abend NS, Hahn CD, Sullivan JE, et al. American Clinical Neurophysiology Society Standardized EEG Terminology and Categorization for the Description of Continuous EEG Monitoring in Neonates: Report of the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society Critical Care Monitoring Committee. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2013 Apr;30(2):161-73.
- [8] van Wezel-Meijler G, Steggerda SJ, Leijser LM. Cranial ultrasonography in neonates: Role and limitations. Semin Perinatol. 2010;34(1):28-38.
- [9] Pan Y, Wan W, Xiang M, Guan Y. Transcranial doppler ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool for cerebrovascular disorders. Front Hum Neurosci. 2022;16:841809.
- [10] Alfaifi J. Use of cranial ultrasound prior to the start of therapeutic hypothermia for newborn encephalopathy. Cureus. 2023;15(4):e37681.
- [11] Annink KV, de Vries LS, Groenendaal F, Vijlbrief DC, Weeke LC, Roehr CC, et al. The development and validation of a cerebral ultrasound scoring system for infants with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy. Pediatr Res. 2020;87(Suppl 1):59-66.
- [12] Bourel-Ponchel E, Querne L, Flamein F, Ghostine-Ramadan G, Wallois F, Lamblin MD. The prognostic value of neonatal conventional-EEG monitoring in hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy during therapeutic hypothermia. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2023;65(1):58-66.
- [13] Hypoxic-Ischemic Encephalopathy: Practice Essentials, Background, Pathophysiology. 2024. [cited 2025 Mar 27]; Available from: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/973501-overview?form=fpf.
- [14] Romeo DM, Bompard S, Serrao F, Leo G, Cicala G, Velli C, et al. Early Neurological assessment in infants with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy treated with therapeutic hypothermia. J Clin Med. 2019;8(8):1247.
- [15] Dwivedi D, Lin N, Venkatesan C, Kline-Fath B, Holland K, Schapiro M. Clinical, neuroimaging, and electrographic predictors of phenobarbital failure in newborns with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy and seizures. J Child Neurol. 2019;883073819838171.

- [16] Prabhu P. Neurosonogram in critically ill neonates in neonatal intensive care unit in Government Mohan Kumaramangalam Medical College Hospital, Salem [Internet] [masters]. Government Mohan Kumaramangalam Medical College, Salem; 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 8]. Available from: http://repository-tnmgrmu.ac.in/13590/.
- [17] Lin HC, Shue TC. Prediction of neurodevelopmental outcome after perinatal asphyxia via transcranial cerebral artery doppler ultrasonography. Pediatrics. 2008;121(Supplement 2):S147-S147.
- [18] Liu J, Cao HY, Huang XH, Wang Q. The pattern and early diagnostic value of Doppler ultrasound for neonatal hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. J Trop Pediatr. 2007 Oct;53(5):351-54.
- [19] Novak I, Morgan C, Adde L, Blackman J, Boyd RN, Brunstrom-Hernandez J, et al. Early, accurate diagnosis and early intervention in cerebral palsy: Advances in diagnosis and treatment. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(9):897-907.
- [20] Use of the Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination in infants with cerebral palsy: A critical review of the literature Romeo 2016 Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology Wiley Online Library [Internet]. [cited 2024 Apr 15]. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/dmcn.12876.
- [21] Hay K, Nelin M, Carey H, Chorna O, Moore-Clingenpeel M, Maitre N. Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination asymmetry score detects hemiplegic Cerebral Palsy from typical development. Pediatr Neurol. 2018;87:70-74.
- [22] JaypeeDigital. Developmental Assessment Scales for Indian Infants (DASII) [Internet]. [cited 2024 Apr 14]. Available from: https://www.jaypeedigital.com/book/9789390020720/chapter/ch6b.
- [23] Dwivedi D, Singh S, Singh J, Bajaj N, Singh HP. Neurodevelopmental status of children aged 6-30 months with severe acute malnutrition. Indian Pediatr. 2018;55(2):131-33.
- [24] Harb A, Margetis K, Kishner S. Modified Ashworth Scale. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 [cited 2025 May 2]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554572/.
- [25] Hamelin S, Delnard N, Cneude F, Debillon T, Vercueil L. Influence of hypothermia on the prognostic value of early EEG in full-term neonates with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy. Neurophysiol Clin Clin Neurophysiol. 2011;41(1):19-27.
- [26] Dubowitz L, Ricciw D, Mercuri E. The Dubowitz neurological examination of the full-term newborn. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev. 2005;11(1):52-60.
- [27] Awal MA, Lai MM, Azemi G, Boashash B, Colditz PB. EEG background features that predict outcome in term neonates with hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy: A structured review. Clin Neurophysiol Off J Int Fed Clin Neurophysiol. 2016;127(1):285-96.
- [28] Guan B, Dai C, Zhang Y, Zhu L, He X, Wang N, et al. Early diagnosis and outcome prediction of neonatal hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy with color Doppler ultrasound. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2017;98(6):469-75.
- [29] Enhesari A, Biglari N, Shafieei M, Sirooee Nejad M, Daei Parizi Z, Eftekhar Vaghefi R. Evaluating the correlation between brain ultra sonographic, brain mri, and electroencephalography findings and the severity of asphyxia and neurodevelopment in infants with hypoxic-ischemic injury. Iran J Child Neurol. 2022;16(3):109-19.
- [30] Murray DM, Boylan GB, Ryan CA, Connolly S. Early EEG findings in hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy predict outcomes at 2 years. Pediatrics. 2009;124(3):e459-467.
- [31] Wazir S, Sundaram V, Kumar P, Saxena A, Narang A. Trans-cranial Doppler in prediction of adverse outcome in asphyxiated neonates. J Pediatr Neurol. 2012;10(1):07-14.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Senior Resident, Department of Paediatrics, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India.
- 2. Associate Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India.
- 3. Associate Professor, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India.
- 4. Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Deepak Dwivedi,

D-2/8 Doctors Colony Rewa (M.P.) Pin 486001, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India. E-mail: deepakdwi72@gmail.com

AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? Yes
 Was informed apparent obtained from the publicate involved in the control of the control
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects.

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain H et al.]

- Plagiarism X-checker: Nov 22, 2024
- Manual Googling: May 10, 2025
- iThenticate Software: May 13, 2025 (4%)

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

EMENDATIONS: 8

Date of Submission: Nov 21, 2024 Date of Peer Review: Feb 24, 2025 Date of Acceptance: May 15, 2025 Date of Publishing: Sep 30, 2025